arXiv:1511.06327v1 [math-ph] 19 Nov 2015

Isotypical Components of Rational Functions

Vincent Knibbeler, Sara Lombardo and Jan A. Sanders

Abstract

A finite group of Möbius transformations acts on the field of rational functions, which in turn decomposes into isotypical components. In spite of the modest group sizes, it is a substantial computational problem to obtain an explicit description of these components by straightforward methods such as averaging. In this paper we find various properties of the isotypical components of rational functions without the need for computations. In particular, we find that each summand is freely generated as a module over the automorphic functions, and the number of generators is the square of the dimension of the associated irreducible representation. The determinant of these generators is expressed in the classical (Kleinian) ground forms.

1. Introduction

Invariant vectors are considered in many branches of mathematics. They form a natural object of study in representation theory and invariant theory, and they received particular attention in the theory of dynamical systems, where 'equivariant vector' is the usual terminology (e.g. equivariant bifurcations, cf. [GSS88, GS02, GS85, DR09, ADM08]), as well as in mathematical physics, where rational maps can be used to understand the structure of solutions of the Skyrme model [HMS98], known as Skyrmions (e.g. [MS04] and references therein).

Recently, the theory of Automorphic Lie Algebras [LM05, Lom04], related to integrable systems, has been a motivator to study invariant vectors over rational functions in depth. In this paper we consider a finite group $G < \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ of Möbius transformations (hence G is isomorphic either to a cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}/N$, a dihedral group $\mathbb{D}_N$, the tetrahedral group $\mathbb{T}$, the octahedral group $\mathbb{O}$ or the icosahedral group $\mathbb{Y}$) and an orbit $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ of G and study the isotypical components

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{P}^1)^{\chi}_{\Gamma}, \qquad \chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)$$

of the space of meromorphic (i.e. rational) functions $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ whose poles are contained in Γ. Here we write $\mathrm{Irr}(G)$ for the set of irreducible characters of G. There is a one-to-one correspondence between direct summands in $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{P}^1)_\Gamma$ isomorphic to a representation V_χ with character χ, and invariant vectors $\left(V_{\overline{\chi}} \otimes \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{P}^1)_\Gamma\right)^G$. Each invariant vector is the trace of the basis of $V_{\overline{\chi}}$ with a χ-basis in $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{P}^1)_\Gamma$ [SS77, KLS15b]. Thus the study of isotypical components $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{P}^1)^{\chi}_{\Gamma}$ is identical to the study of invariant vectors $\left(V_{\overline{\chi}} \otimes \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{P}^1)_\Gamma\right)^G$.

Obtaining explicit descriptions of invariant vectors as a set of generators over a ring of invariant functions is usually a substantial computational problem, and various methods have been developed to carry out such computations effectively [Gat00]. This paper provides information

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification 13A50 (Actions of groups on commutative rings; invariant theory).
Keywords: Invariant theory, equivariant vectors.
The second author gratefully acknowledges financial support from EPSRC (EP/E044646/1 and EP/E044646/2) and from NWO VENI (016.073.026).

on all invariant vectors circumventing the need for computing. The three main results are the determination of the evaluated invariant vectors (Proposition 3.2), the structure of invariant vectors as a module over the ring of automorphic functions (Theorem 5.8) and a formula for the determinant of the generating invariant vectors (Theorem 6.5). These results hold for all finite groups acting on the rational functions by precomposition.

The subjects of this paper are classical, and their, currently main, application is to the modern theory of Automorphic Lie Algebras. Indeed, the results of this paper explain many of the interesting algebraic properties of Automorphic Lie Algebras that were discovered for particular cases in [LM04, LM05, LS10, Bur10, KLS14] and prove that they hold in far greater generality. For example, this paper proves the fact that Automorphic Lie Algebras allow a basis similar to the complex base Lie algebra, and it provides the numbers denoted by κ which turned out to be valuable invariants in [KLS15b]. This paper thus provides an aid in the classification of Automorphic Lie Algebras. Moreover, it links the theory of Automorphic Lie Algebras to a cohomology theory on root systems [Kni14, KLS15a]. Besides that, the results presented here could be relevant in any field where there is an interest in the classification of rational equivariant vectors, such as the field of equivariant bifurcations as well as in the search for invariant rational maps in physics.

2. Polyhedral groups

A polyhedral group G is a finite group of rotations in 3-dimensional space, equivalently a finite group of Möbius transformations $\lambda \mapsto \frac{a\lambda+b}{c\lambda+d}$, i.e. automorphisms of the complex projective line. These groups are well studied and described, cf. [Dol09, Kle56, Kle93, Tot02]. Consider the action of G on $\mathbb{P}^1$. Let $\Omega \ni i$ be an index set for the G-orbits Γ_i of elements with nontrivial stabiliser groups, *exceptional orbits* hereafter. Moreover, let $d_i = |\Gamma_i|$ be the size of such an orbit and ν_i the order of such a stabiliser subgroup. In particular

$$d_i \nu_i = |G|, \qquad i \in \Omega.$$

The classification of finite subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is obtained through the formula

$$2\left(1 - \frac{1}{|G|}\right) = \sum_{i\in\Omega} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\nu_i}\right). \tag{1}$$

First of all it follows that there are either 2 or 3 exceptional orbits. If $|\Omega| = 2$ one finds the cyclic groups and if $|\Omega| = 3$ one obtains the orientation preserving symmetry groups of the Platonic solids and regular polygons embedded in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Table 1 contains various relevant numbers for all polyhedral groups, such as their exponent $\|G\| = \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid g^n = 1, \forall g \in G\}$ and the order of their Schur multiplier $|M(G)|$.

Most attention will go to the non-cyclic groups, the groups with three exceptional orbits. For convenience we fix in this situation

$$\Omega = \{\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c}\},$$

choose $\nu_\mathfrak{a} \geqslant \nu_\mathfrak{b} \geqslant \nu_\mathfrak{c}$ and use the presentation

$$G = \langle g_\mathfrak{a},\, g_\mathfrak{b},\, g_\mathfrak{c} \mid {g_\mathfrak{a}}^{\nu_\mathfrak{a}} = {g_\mathfrak{b}}^{\nu_\mathfrak{b}} = {g_\mathfrak{c}}^{\nu_\mathfrak{c}} = g_\mathfrak{a} g_\mathfrak{b} g_\mathfrak{c} = 1\rangle. \tag{2}$$

Notice the Euler characteristic of the sphere, $d_\mathfrak{a} + d_\mathfrak{b} - d_\mathfrak{c} = 2$.

Table 1. Groups G, orders, exponent $\|G\|$, Schur multiplier $M(G)$ and abelianisation $\mathcal{A}G$.

G	$\lvert\Omega\rvert$	$(\nu_\mathfrak{a}, \nu_\mathfrak{b}(, \nu_\mathfrak{c}))$	$(d_\mathfrak{a}, d_\mathfrak{b}(, d_\mathfrak{c}))$	$\lvert G\rvert$	$\|G\|$	$\lvert M(G)\rvert$	$\mathcal{A}G$
$\mathbb{Z}/N$	2	(N, N)	$(1, 1)$	N	N	1	$\mathbb{Z}/N$
$\mathbb{D}_{N=2M-1}$	3	$(N, 2, 2)$	$(2, N, N)$	$2N$	$2N$	1	$\mathbb{Z}/2$
$\mathbb{D}_{N=2M}$	3	$(N, 2, 2)$	$(2, N, N)$	$2N$	N	2	$\mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$
$\mathbb{T}$	3	$(3, 3, 2)$	$(4, 4, 6)$	12	6	2	$\mathbb{Z}/3$
$\mathbb{O}$	3	$(4, 3, 2)$	$(6, 8, 12)$	24	12	2	$\mathbb{Z}/2$
$\mathbb{Y}$	3	$(5, 3, 2)$	$(12, 20, 30)$	60	30	2	1

Representations of polyhedral groups have the following curious property. The special case of the icosahedral group is discussed by Lusztig [Lus03] where it is attributed to Serre.

Lemma 2.1. *If G is a polyhedral group and V a G-module, then*

$$(|\Omega| - 2)\dim V + 2\dim V^G = \sum_{i\in\Omega} \dim V^{\langle g_i\rangle}$$

where g_i is a generator of a stabilizer subgroup at an exceptional orbit Γ_i.

We refer to [Kni14] for a proof. This result will mostly be used for nontrivial irreducible representations V of non-cyclic polyhedral groups. In that case the formula simplifies to

$$\dim V = \sum_{i\in\Omega} \dim V^{\langle g_i\rangle}$$

and we find the direct sum of vector spaces (not of G-modules) $V = V^{\langle g_\mathfrak{a}\rangle} \oplus V^{\langle g_\mathfrak{b}\rangle} \oplus V^{\langle g_\mathfrak{c}\rangle}$.

3. Evaluations

In this section we present a simple expression of the vector space obtained by evaluating all invariant meromorphic vectors. In particular, this space can be found without any knowledge of the invariant vectors. We use the following observation.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\mathbb{C}G$ denote the regular representation of a finite group G. If $H < G$ is a subgroup then*

$$\dim \mathbb{C}G^H = [G : H]$$

where $[G : H] = \frac{|G|}{|H|}$ is the index of H in G.

Proof. Denote an arbitrary vector $v \in \mathbb{C}G$ by $v = \sum_{g\in G} v_g g$, where $v_g \in \mathbb{C}$. Invariance of v under $h \in H$ means

$$\sum_{g\in G} v_g g = h^{-1} \sum_{g\in G} v_g g = \sum_{g\in G} v_g h^{-1} g = \sum_{g\in G} v_{hg} g.$$

In other words, $v \in \mathbb{C}G^H$ if and only if $v_{hg} = v_g$ for all $g \in G$ and $h \in H$, i.e. $g \mapsto v_g$ is constant on cosets of H, leaving a vector space of dimension $\frac{|G|}{|H|}$. □

Applied to a polyhedral group and a stabiliser subgroup of its action on $\mathbb{P}^1$, Lemma 3.1 reads

$$\dim \mathbb{C}G^{\langle g_i\rangle} = d_i. \tag{3}$$

Let S be a Riemann surface, $\Gamma \subset S$ a subset and $\lambda_0 \in S \setminus \Gamma$ a point. We define the evaluation map

$$\varepsilon_{\lambda_0} : V \otimes \mathcal{M}(S)_\Gamma \to V$$

by linear extension of $\varepsilon_{\lambda_0} : v \otimes f \mapsto f(\lambda_0)v$.

Proposition 3.2. *Suppose a finite group G acts on a vector space V and on the complex projective line $\mathbb{P}^1$. Let Γ be a G-orbit in $\mathbb{P}^1$. The space of equivariant V-valued rational maps $\left(V \otimes \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{P}^1)_\Gamma\right)^G$ can be evaluated at a point λ_0 in its holomorphic domain $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \Gamma$ resulting in*

$$\varepsilon_{\lambda_0} \left(V \otimes \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{P}^1)_\Gamma\right)^G = V^{G_{\lambda_0}}$$

where $G_{\lambda_0} = \{g \in G \mid g\lambda_0 = \lambda_0\}$ is the stabiliser subgroup.

Proof. Let S be a Riemann surface and $G < \mathrm{Aut}(S)$ a finite group. By definition of invariance,

$$\varepsilon_{\lambda_0} \left(V \otimes \mathcal{M}(S)_\Gamma\right)^G \subset V^{G_{\lambda_0}}. \tag{4}$$

Lemma 3.1 gives information on the right hand side of this inclusion:

$$\sum_{\chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)} \chi(1) \dim V_\chi^{G_{\lambda_0}} = \dim \left(\bigoplus_{\chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)} \chi(1) V_\chi \right)^{G_{\lambda_0}} = \dim(\mathbb{C}G)^{G_{\lambda_0}} = [G : G_{\lambda_0}].$$

For brevity we define

$$d_{\lambda_0} = [G : G_{\lambda_0}] = |G\lambda_0|.$$

Now it is sufficient to show that

$$\dim \varepsilon_{\lambda_0} \left(\mathbb{C}G \otimes \mathcal{M}(S)_\Gamma\right)^G = \sum_{\chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)} \chi(1) \dim \varepsilon_{\lambda_0} \left(V_\chi \otimes \mathcal{M}(S)_\Gamma\right)^G \geqslant d_{\lambda_0}$$

so that the dimension of the vector space on the left hand side of (4) is greater than or equal to the dimension of the right hand side.

A $|G|$-tuple of functions $f_g \in \mathcal{M}(S)_\Gamma$ defines a vector $\sum_{g \in G} f_g g \in \mathbb{C}G \otimes \mathcal{M}(S)_\Gamma$, which is invariant if and only if $\sum_{g \in G} f_g(\lambda) g = h \sum_{g \in G} f_g(\lambda) g = \sum_{g \in G} f_g(h^{-1}\lambda) hg = \sum_{g \in G} f_{h^{-1}g}(h^{-1}\lambda) g$, i.e.

$$f_g(\lambda) = f_{hg}(h\lambda), \qquad \forall g, h \in G,\ \forall \lambda \in S.$$

In particular, a tuple of rational functions $(f_g \,|\, g \in G)$ related to an invariant is defined by one function, e.g. f_1, since $f_g(\lambda) = f_{g^{-1}g}(g^{-1}\lambda) = f_1(g^{-1}\lambda)$. Conversely, any function $f_1 \in \mathcal{M}(S)_\Gamma$ gives rise to an invariant in $\mathbb{C}G \otimes \mathcal{M}(S)_\Gamma$.

Consider a left transversal $\{h_1, \ldots, h_{d_{\lambda_0}}\} \subset G$ of G_{λ_0}. That is, a set of representatives of left G_{λ_0}-cosets. We have a disjoint union

$$G = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{d_{\lambda_0}} h_i G_{\lambda_0}.$$

Define d_{λ_0} vectors $v_i \in \mathbb{C}^{|G|}$ by $v_i = (f(h_i^{-1} g^{-1} \lambda_0) \,|\, g \in G)$ where $f \in \mathcal{M}(S)_\Gamma$. Then $v_i \in \varepsilon_{\lambda_0} \left(\mathbb{C}G \otimes \mathcal{M}(S)_\Gamma\right)^G$. We are done if we can show that these vectors are linearly independent for at least one choice of f. Here we might as well restrict our attention to the square matrix $(f(h_i^{-1} h_j \lambda_0))$, because if g^{-1} and g'^{-1} are in the same coset $h_j G_{\lambda_0}$ then $f(h_i^{-1} g^{-1} \lambda_0) = f(h_i^{-1} g'^{-1} \lambda_0) = f(h_i^{-1} h_j \lambda_0)$.

First consider the matrix $(h_i^{-1}h_j\lambda_0)$. Clearly the point λ_0 appears at each diagonal entry. Moreover, we can see that a row in this matrix consists of distinct points: if $h_i^{-1}h_j\lambda_0 = h_i^{-1}h_{j'}\lambda_0$ then $h_j^{-1}h_{j'} \in G_{\lambda_0}$, i.e. $h_{j'} \in h_jG_{\lambda_0}$ and therefore $h_j = h_{j'}$, i.e. $j = j'$. Hence each row is a permutation of the points $\{h_1\lambda_0 = \lambda_0, h_2\lambda_0 = c_2, \ldots, h_{d_{\lambda_0}}\lambda_0 = c_{d_{\lambda_0}}\}$.

The proof follows by showing existence of a function $f \in \mathcal{M}(S)_\Gamma$ such that the determinant $\det(f(h_i^{-1}h_j\lambda_0)) \neq 0$. For example, $f(\lambda_0) = 1$ and $f(c_i) = 0$ for $2 \leqslant i \leqslant d_{\lambda_0}$, so that $(f(h_i^{-1}h_j\lambda_0))$ is the identity matrix. In case $S = \mathbb{P}^1$ it is not a problem to find such a function f, since $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{P}^1) = \mathbb{C}(\lambda)$ is the field of rational functions. Indeed, one can take

$$f(\lambda) = \frac{\prod_{i=2}^{d_{\lambda_0}}(\lambda - c_i)}{(\lambda - \gamma)^{d_{\lambda_0}-1}}$$

for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$. □

4. Linearisation

In this section we make the identification of the Riemann sphere with the projective line and their respective function fields explicit (in a standard manner, see e.g. [Eis95, Mir95]). This will enable us to exploit the degree information of forms in the remainder of this paper.

Meromorphic functions on the projective line $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ will be identified with the field of quotients of forms in two variables of the same degree (forms are multivariate polynomials where any two terms have identical degree: the degree of the form), and with rational functions $\mathbb{C}(\lambda)$ in one variable. We write elements of the projective line as

$$\lambda = \frac{X}{Y} \in \mathbb{P}^1$$

where $(X, Y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus (0, 0)$. A polynomial $p(\lambda)$ of degree d defines a form $P(X, Y)$ of the same degree by

$$p\left(\frac{X}{Y}\right) = Y^{-d}P(X, Y). \tag{5}$$

In particular $p(\lambda) = P(\lambda, 1)$. The other way around, a form P of degree d defines a polynomial $p(\lambda)$ by (5) of degree d minus the number of factors Y in P.

A rational function in λ becomes

$$\frac{p(\lambda)}{q(\lambda)} = \frac{Y^{-\deg p}P(X, Y)}{Y^{-\deg q}Q(X, Y)} = \frac{Y^{\deg q}P(X, Y)}{Y^{\deg p}Q(X, Y)}$$

a quotient of two forms (automatically of the same degree). Also, a quotient of two forms of identical degree d is a rational function of λ,

$$\frac{P(X, Y)}{Q(X, Y)} = \frac{Y^{-d}P(X, Y)}{Y^{-d}Q(X, Y)} = \frac{p(\lambda)}{q(\lambda)}.$$

Now we bring the polyhedral groups back in the picture. A linear action of G on $\mathbb{P}^1 = \left(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}\right)/\mathbb{C}^*$ is obtained by a projective representation $\rho : G \to \mathrm{PGL}(\mathbb{C}^2)$. Such representations are induced by linear representations $\rho : H \to \mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ of certain central extensions H of G. In this paper we prefer to work with the binary polyhedral groups $H = G^\flat$, defined as the preimage of a polyhedral group $G \subset \mathrm{PSL}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ under the quotient map $\mathrm{SL}(\mathbb{C}^2) \to \mathrm{PSL}(\mathbb{C}^2)$. All projective representations of G are induced by linear representations of $G^\flat$. Moreover, the binary polyhedral

groups allow the presentation

$$G^\flat = \langle g_\mathfrak{a}, g_\mathfrak{b}, g_\mathfrak{c} \mid {g_\mathfrak{a}}^{\nu_\mathfrak{a}} = {g_\mathfrak{b}}^{\nu_\mathfrak{b}} = {g_\mathfrak{c}}^{\nu_\mathfrak{c}} = g_\mathfrak{a} g_\mathfrak{b} g_\mathfrak{c} \rangle$$

where the numbers ν_i correspond to the associated polyhedral group G. We choose to use the same symbols g_i for generators of different groups, G and $G^\flat$. The context should prevent confusion.

The transition process from forms to rational functions will be called *homogenisation* (even though this term is overused and there is some ambiguity). A form in two variables is *homogenised* if it is divided by a form of the same degree, to obtain an object of degree 0, or a rational function of $\frac{X}{Y} = \lambda$. Equation (5) is an example of this process. The denominator determines the location of the poles on the Riemann sphere, e.g. ∞ in the case of (5).

Following [KLS14] we define two operators which formalise this process, and identify an intermediate position, which will be our preferred place to work. Concretely, we define the *prehomogenisation* operator $\mathcal{P}$ and the *homogenisation* operator $\mathcal{H}$, which will take us from $(V \otimes \mathbb{C}[X,Y])^{G^\flat}$ to $(V \otimes \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{P}^1)_\Gamma)^G$ in two steps. By taking just the first step, one can study $(V \otimes \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{P}^1)_\Gamma)^G$ while holding on to the degree information of the forms in $\mathbb{C}[X,Y]$.

Definition 4.1 Prehomogenisation. *Let U be a vector spaces and $\mathbb{C}[U]$ the ring of polynomials with arguments in U. Define $\mathcal{P}_d : \mathbb{C}[U] \to \mathbb{C}[U]$ to be the linear projection operator acting on forms P by*

$$\mathcal{P}_d P = \begin{cases} P & \text{if } d \,|\, \deg P, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Elements of V are considered forms of degree zero in the tensor product $V \otimes \mathbb{C}[U]$ with a polynomial ring. The prehomogenisation operator is thus extended $\mathcal{P}_d : V \otimes \mathbb{C}[U] \to V \otimes \mathbb{C}[U]$ sending a basis element $v \otimes P$ to $\mathcal{P}_d(v \otimes P) = \mathcal{P}_d v \otimes \mathcal{P}_d P = v \otimes \mathcal{P}_d P$.

The prehomogenisation operator does not respect products, it is not a morphism of modules. Indeed, one can take two forms such that their degrees are no multiples of d but the sum of their degrees is, so that both forms are annihilated by $\mathcal{P}_d$, but their product is not. This is the problematic part of the transition between forms and rational functions. But now that this is captured in the prehomogenisation procedure, we can define a second map that behaves well with respect to products.

Definition 4.2 Homogenisation. *Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ be a finite subset and $F_\Gamma \subset \mathbb{C}[X,Y]$ the form of degree $|\Gamma|$ related to $f(\lambda) = \prod_{\lambda_0 \in \Gamma}(\lambda - \lambda_0)$ through (5). If $|\Gamma| \mid d$ we define*

$$\mathcal{H}_\Gamma : \mathcal{P}_d \mathbb{C}[X,Y] \to \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{P}^1)_\Gamma, \qquad \mathcal{H}_\Gamma P = \frac{P}{F_\Gamma^r}$$

where $r|\Gamma| = \deg P$.

The homogenisation map generalises to $\mathcal{H}_\Gamma : V \otimes \mathcal{P}_d C[X,Y] \to V \otimes \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{P}^1)_\Gamma$ in the same manner as the prehomogenisation map by acting trivially on V.

Definition 4.3 Ground form [Dol09, Kle56, Kle93, Tot02]. *Let $G < \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ and $\Gamma \in \mathbb{P}^1/G$. Define f_Γ as the polynomial with divisor Γ and let $F_\Gamma \in \mathbb{C}[X,Y]$ be related to f_Γ through (5). Define $\nu_\Gamma = |G||\Gamma|^{-1}$. In the case of an exceptional orbit $\Gamma = \Gamma_i$ we use a short hand notation, $f_i = f_{\Gamma_i}$, $F_i = F_{\Gamma_i}$ and $\nu_i = \nu_{\Gamma_i}$, and F_i is called a* ground form. *In general the ground forms are relative invariants (there is a homomorphism $\chi : G^\flat \to \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $g \cdot F_i = \chi(g) F_i$) and $f_\Gamma^{\nu_\Gamma}$ and*

$F_\Gamma^{\nu_\Gamma}$ are invariants of G and $G^\flat$ respectively. Of special interest are the automorphic functions

$$\mathbb{I}_i = \frac{f_i^{\nu_i}}{f_\Gamma^{\nu_\Gamma}} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{P}^1)_\Gamma^G$$

with divisor $\nu_i\Gamma_i - \nu_\Gamma\Gamma$.

Remark 4.4. *The term ground form originates from classical invariant theory and describes a (set of) covariant form(s) such that all other covariant forms can be derived from it by transvection. In the context of this paper this would mean that one restricts one's attention to those relative invariants of minimal order (F_i such that $|\Gamma_i| \leqslant |\Gamma_j|$ for all $j \in \Omega$).*

The next lemma shows that all invariant vectors $(V \otimes \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{P}^1)_\Gamma)^G$ are realised as quotients of invariant vectors in $V \otimes \mathbb{C}[X,Y]$ and invariant forms whose degrees are multiples of $|G|$.

Lemma 4.5 [KLS14]. *Let V and $\mathbb{P}^1$ be G-modules, $\Gamma \in \mathbb{P}^1/G$ and $\bar{v} \in (V \otimes \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{P}^1)_\Gamma)^G$. Then there exists a number $e \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and an invariant vector $v \in (V \otimes \mathbb{C}[X,Y])^{G^\flat}$ such that $\bar{v} = vF_\Gamma^{-e\nu_\Gamma}$, with F_Γ and ν_Γ as in Definition 4.3. In particular, the map*

$$\mathcal{H}_\Gamma : (V \otimes \mathcal{P}_d\mathbb{C}[X,Y])^{G^\flat} \to \left(V \otimes \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{P}^1)_\Gamma\right)^G$$

is surjective if $|G|$ divides d.

Proof. If $\bar{v}$ is constant then the statement follows by taking $e = 0$ and $v = \bar{v}$. Suppose $\bar{v}$ is not constant. Then there is a vector $v' \in V \otimes \mathbb{C}[X,Y]$ and a polynomial $F' \in \mathbb{C}[X,Y]$ of the same homogeneous degree, such that $\bar{v} = \frac{v'}{F'}$, as described in the introduction of this section. Since $\bar{v}$ is not constant, F' has zeros, which are in Γ by assumption. The invariance of $\bar{v}$ implies that the order of the poles is constant on an orbit. Therefore $F' = F_\Gamma^p$ for some $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Choose $e \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $q = e\nu_\Gamma - p \geqslant 0$. Put $v = v'F_\Gamma^q$. Then $\bar{v} = \frac{v'}{F'} = \frac{v'}{F_\Gamma^p} = \frac{v'F_\Gamma^q}{F_\Gamma^{p+q}} = \frac{v}{F_\Gamma^{e\nu_\Gamma}}$. But $F_\Gamma^{\nu_\Gamma}$ is invariant, hence invariance of $\bar{v}$ implies invariance of v.

To see that $\mathcal{H}_\Gamma : (V \otimes \mathcal{P}_d\mathbb{C}[X,Y])^{G^\flat} \to \left(V \otimes \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{P}^1)_\Gamma\right)^G$ is surjective if $d = d'|G|$, notice that one can choose e such that $e = e'd'$, as there is only a lower bound for e. Then $\deg v = e|G| = e'd'|G| = e'd$, hence $v \in (V \otimes \mathcal{P}_d\mathbb{C}[X,Y])^{G^\flat}$. ∎

We define an equivalence relation $\sim_F$ on $\mathbb{C}[U] \ni F$ by

$$P \sim_F Q \Leftrightarrow \exists r \in \mathbb{Z} : P = F^r Q.$$

One may use the notion of associates. Two elements of a ring are associates if one can be obtained by multiplying the other by a unit. Considering the localisation

$$\mathbb{C}[U]_F = \{F^r P \mid P \in \mathbb{C}[U], r \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

(i.e. we allow division by F [Eis95]) and embedding $\mathbb{C}[U]$ canonically in this ring, we see that $P, Q \in \mathbb{C}[U]$ are $\sim_F$ equivalent if and only if P and Q are associates in $\mathbb{C}[U]_F$.

Lemma 4.6 [KLS14]. *Let V and $\mathbb{P}^1$ be G-modules and $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ a G-orbit. If $|G|$ divides d then there is an isomorphism of modules*

$$\mathcal{P}_d(V \otimes \mathbb{C}[X,Y])^{G^\flat}/{\sim_{F_\Gamma}} \cong \left(V \otimes \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{P}^1)_\Gamma\right)^G,$$

where F_Γ is given in Definition 4.3.

Proof. The linear map $\mathcal{H}_\Gamma : \mathcal{P}_d(V \otimes \mathbb{C}[X,Y])^{G^\flat} \to (V \otimes \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{P}^1)_\Gamma)^G$ respects products and is therefore a homomorphism of modules. Restricted to the ring $\mathcal{P}_d\mathbb{C}[X,Y]$, the kernel $\mathcal{H}_\Gamma^{-1}(1)$ is the equivalence class of the identity, monomials in F_Γ, and by Lemma 4.5 the map is surjective. ∎

5. Squaring the Ring

In this section we consider the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[U]$ where U is the natural representation of a binary polyhedral group $G^\flat$. Through elementary arguments we find the character of the subspaces of fixed degree $m|G|$ and $m|G|-1$. This will be particularly useful in light of Lemma 4.6, and it results in a description of the space of invariant vectors as a module over the ring of automorphic functions.

Let $\|G\|$ denote the exponent of the group G, i.e. the least common multiple of the orders of group elements.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $G^\flat$ be a binary polyhedral group corresponding to the polyhedral group G. Then*

$$\|G^\flat\| = 2\,\mathrm{lcm}(\nu_\mathfrak{a},\nu_\mathfrak{b},\nu_\mathfrak{c}) = 2\|G\| = \frac{2|G|}{|M(G)|} = \begin{cases} |G| & \text{if } G \in \{\mathbb{D}_{2M},\mathbb{T},\mathbb{O},\mathbb{Y}\} \\ 2|G| & \text{if } G \in \{\mathbb{Z}/N,\mathbb{D}_{2M+1}\} \end{cases}$$

where $M(G)$ is the Schur multiplier of G and lcm *stands for* least common multiple.

Proof. We prove that $\|G^\flat\| = 2\,\mathrm{lcm}(\nu_\mathfrak{a},\nu_\mathfrak{b},\nu_\mathfrak{c})$. The other equalities can be found in Table 1. The polyhedral group G is covered by stabiliser subgroups G_λ, $\lambda \in \mathbb{P}^1$. Thus, if $\pi : G^\flat \to G$ is any extension with kernel Z, then $G^\flat$ is covered by the preimages $\pi^{-1}G_\lambda$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{P}^1$. The order of an element $h \in G^\flat$ thus divides the order of the subgroup $\pi^{-1}G_\lambda$ containing it, which is $|Z|\nu_i$. Hence the exponent divides the least common multiple of these;

$$\|G^\flat\| \mid \mathrm{lcm}(|Z|\nu_\mathfrak{a},|Z|\nu_\mathfrak{b},|Z|\nu_\mathfrak{c}) = |Z|\,\mathrm{lcm}(\nu_\mathfrak{a},\nu_\mathfrak{b},\nu_\mathfrak{c}).$$

On the other hand, if we assume that $G^\flat$ is the binary polyhedral group then it is clear from the presentation $G^\flat = \langle g_\mathfrak{a}, g_\mathfrak{b}, g_\mathfrak{c} \mid {g_\mathfrak{a}}^{\nu_\mathfrak{a}} = {g_\mathfrak{b}}^{\nu_\mathfrak{b}} = {g_\mathfrak{c}}^{\nu_\mathfrak{c}} = g_\mathfrak{a}g_\mathfrak{b}g_\mathfrak{c}\rangle$ and the fact that $g_\mathfrak{a}g_\mathfrak{b}g_\mathfrak{c} \in Z(G^\flat) = \mathbb{Z}/2$ that the order of g_i is $2\nu_i$, so that

$$2\,\mathrm{lcm}(\nu_\mathfrak{a},\nu_\mathfrak{b},\nu_\mathfrak{c}) = \mathrm{lcm}(2\nu_\mathfrak{a},2\nu_\mathfrak{b},2\nu_\mathfrak{c}) \mid \|G^\flat\|$$

and $\|G^\flat\| = 2\,\mathrm{lcm}(\nu_\mathfrak{a},\nu_\mathfrak{b},\nu_\mathfrak{c})$ as desired. □

Notice that if $G^\flat$ is a Schur cover, equal to the binary polyhedral group when possible, then $\|G^\flat\| = |G|$. For now we wish to use some results that are specific to $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Let χ be the character of the natural representation of $G^\flat$, i.e. the monomorphism $\sigma : G^\flat \to \mathrm{SL}(U) = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, and denote its symmetric tensor of degree h by

$$\chi_h = \chi_{S^hU}.$$

The *Clebsch-Gordan decomposition* [Fos81] for $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-modules

$$U \otimes S^hU = S^{h+1}U \oplus S^{h-1}U, \quad h \geqslant 2, \tag{6}$$

can be conveniently used to find the decomposition of χ_h when h is a multiple of $\|G^\flat\|$. To this end, we write the Clebsch-Gordan decomposition in terms of the characters

$$\begin{pmatrix} \chi_h \\ \chi_{h-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \chi & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \chi_{h-1} \\ \chi_{h-2} \end{pmatrix} \tag{7}$$

with boundary conditions

$$\chi_{-1} = 0, \qquad \chi_0 = \epsilon,$$

where ϵ is the trivial character. The function χ_{-1} might not be defined by a symmetric tensor product but it gives a convenient boundary condition resulting in the correct solution, with $\chi_1 = \chi$.

Lemma 5.2. *If $g \in G^\flat$ has order $\nu > 2$ and χ_h is the character of the h-th symmetric power of the natural representation of $G^\flat$, then*

$$\chi_{h+\nu}(g) = \chi_h(g)$$

for all $h \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Let ω and ω^{-1} be the eigenvalues of g's representative in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. In particular $\omega^\nu = 1$. The matrix which defines the linear recurrence relation (7) becomes

$$M(g) = \begin{pmatrix} \omega + \omega^{-1} & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We check that this matrix has eigenvalues $\omega^{\pm 1}$ as well. If the eigenvalues are distinct, i.e. $\omega^2 \neq 1$, i.e. $\nu > 2$, then $M(g)$ is similar to $\mathrm{diag}(\omega, \omega^{-1})$ and has order ν, proving the lemma. Notice that $M(g)$ is not diagonalisable if $\nu = 1$ or $\nu = 2$. □

The only maps in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ whose square is the identity are $\pm\mathrm{Id}$. The epimorphism π in the short exact sequence $1 \to \mathbb{Z}/2 \to G^\flat \xrightarrow{\pi} G \to 1$ therefore satisfies

$$g \in \ker \pi \Leftrightarrow g^2 = 1. \tag{8}$$

Moreover, if $1 \neq z \in \ker \pi$ then its $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-representative is $-\mathrm{Id}$ and its action on a form $F \in S^h U \cong S^h U^*$ is given by

$$zF = (-1)^h F.$$

Let $\chi_{\mathrm{reg}G}$ and $\chi_{\mathrm{reg}G^\flat}$ be the characters of the regular representations of G and $G^\flat$ respectively, i.e.

$$\pi^*\chi_{\mathrm{reg}G}(g) = \begin{cases} |G| & \pi(g) = 1, \\ 0 & \pi(g) \neq 1, \end{cases} \qquad \chi_{\mathrm{reg}G^\flat}(g) = \begin{cases} 2|G| & g = 1, \\ 0 & g \neq 1, \end{cases}$$

where $\pi^* f(g) = f(\pi(g))$.

Theorem 5.3. *Let G be a polyhedral group and $G^\flat$ its corresponding binary polyhedral group, with epimorphism $\pi : G^\flat \to G$. Let χ_h be the character of the h-th symmetric power of the natural representation of $G^\flat$, and $\chi_{\mathrm{reg}G}$ and ϵ the character of the regular and trivial representation respectively. Then*

$$\chi_{m|G|} = m\pi^*\chi_{\mathrm{reg}G} + \epsilon,$$
$$\chi_{m|G|-1} = m(\chi_{\mathrm{reg}G^\flat} - \pi^*\chi_{\mathrm{reg}G}),$$

for all $m \in \frac{2}{|M(G)|}\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

Proof. The statement is trivial for $m = 0$. We prove the first equality for $m \in \frac{2}{|M(G)|}\mathbb{N}$ by evaluating both sides of the equation at each element of $G^\flat$.

First of all, if $\pi(g) = 1$ then $(m\pi^*\chi_{\mathrm{reg}G} + \epsilon)(g) = m|G| + 1$. On the other hand, since $m|G|$ is an even number, the action of $g \in \ker \pi$ on $\chi_{m|G|}$ is trivial and $\chi_{m|G|}(g) = \dim \chi_{m|G|} = m|G| + 1$.

If $\pi(g) \neq 1$ then $(m\pi^*\chi_{\mathrm{reg}G} + \epsilon)(g) = 1$. Also, by (8), g has order $\nu > 2$. Since $\nu \mid \|G^\flat\| = \frac{2|G|}{|M(G)|} \mid m|G|$ by Lemma 5.1, one can apply Lemma 5.2 to find $\chi_{m|G|}(g) = \chi_0(g) = 1$. The second equality follows in the same manner.

□

Example 5.4. *As an illustration we compute the first twelve symmetric powers of the natural representation $\mathbb{T}^\flat \hookrightarrow \mathrm{SL}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ of the binary tetrahedral group, using the Clebsch-Gordan*

decomposition (6), and list them in Table 3 (possibly the easiest way to do this is using the affine Dynkin diagram E_6 in Figure 1, which is related to $\mathbb{T}$ by the McKay correspondence, *cf. [Dol09, Spr87]). Here we denote irreducible representation of $\mathbb{T}^\flat$ by $\mathbb{T}^\flat_i$, $i = 1, \dots, 7$. This notation allows us later to compare representations from multiple polyhedral groups. Moreover, we drop the superscript $\flat$ and call the representation nonspinorial whenever the representation factors through $\mathbb{T}$. Otherwise we say it is spinorial. The number ω_3 is a cube root of unity. The natural representation $\mathbb{T}^\flat \hookrightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is recognised as $\mathbb{T}^\flat_4$ in the character table, since it is the only real valued 2-dimensional character. We have underlined the character of a natural representation in the character tables.*

Compare Theorem 5.3 for $G = \mathbb{T}$ and $m = 1$ (see $S^{11}\mathbb{T}^\flat_4$ and $S^{12}\mathbb{T}^\flat_4$). Notice also that all even powers are nonspinorial and all odd powers are spinorial.

Table 2. Irreducible characters of the binary tetrahedral group $\mathbb{T}^\flat$.

g	1	$g_{\mathfrak{a}}{}^2$	$g_{\mathfrak{c}}$	z	$g_{\mathfrak{b}}{}^2$	$g_{\mathfrak{b}}$	$g_{\mathfrak{a}}$
$\lvert C_G(g)\rvert$	24	6	4	24	6	6	6
$\mathbb{T}_1$	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
$\mathbb{T}_2$	1	ω_3	1	1	ω_3^2	ω_3	ω_3^2
$\mathbb{T}_3$	1	ω_3^2	1	1	ω_3	ω_3^2	ω_3
$\underline{\mathbb{T}^\flat_4}$	2	-1	0	-2	-1	1	1
$\mathbb{T}^\flat_5$	2	$-\omega_3^2$	0	-2	$-\omega_3$	ω_3^2	ω_3
$\mathbb{T}^\flat_6$	2	$-\omega_3$	0	-2	$-\omega_3^2$	ω_3	ω_3^2
$\mathbb{T}_7$	3	0	-1	3	0	0	0

Figure 1. Affine Dynkin diagram E_6 labeled by irreducible characters of $\mathbb{T}^\flat$.



The first equation in the Theorem 5.3 is equivalent to the following Poincaré series of the image of the prehomogenisation operator $\mathcal{P}_{m|G|}$ of a space of invariant vectors,

$$P\left(\mathcal{P}_{m|G|}\mathbb{C}[X,Y]^\chi, t\right) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \chi \text{ is spinorial,} \\ \frac{1+(m-1)t^{m|G|}}{(1-t^{m|G|})^2} & \text{if } \chi = \epsilon, \\ \frac{m\chi(1)^2 t^{m|G|}}{(1-t^{m|G|})^2} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

where m is a multiple of $\frac{2}{|M(G)|}$. Notice that $\frac{2}{|M(G)|} = 1$ for most of the interesting groups, namely for $\mathbb{T}$, $\mathbb{O}$, $\mathbb{Y}$ and $\mathbb{D}_{2M}$, in which case one can obtain the simplest formula by taking $m = 1$.

Table 3. Decomposition of symmetric powers $S^h\mathbb{T}_4^\flat$, $h \leqslant \|\mathbb{T}\|$.

h	$S^h\mathbb{T}_4^\flat$	$\mathbb{T}_4^\flat \otimes S^h\mathbb{T}_4^\flat$
-1	0	0
0	$\mathbb{T}_1$	$\mathbb{T}_4^\flat$
1	$\mathbb{T}_4^\flat$	$\mathbb{T}_4^\flat\mathbb{T}_4^\flat = \mathbb{T}_1 + \mathbb{T}_7$
2	$\mathbb{T}_7$	$\mathbb{T}_4^\flat\mathbb{T}_7 = \mathbb{T}_4^\flat + \mathbb{T}_5^\flat + \mathbb{T}_6^\flat$
3	$\mathbb{T}_5^\flat + \mathbb{T}_6^\flat$	$\mathbb{T}_2 + \mathbb{T}_3 + 2\mathbb{T}_7$
4	$\mathbb{T}_2 + \mathbb{T}_3 + \mathbb{T}_7$	$\mathbb{T}_4^\flat + 2\mathbb{T}_5^\flat + 2\mathbb{T}_6^\flat$
5	$\mathbb{T}_4^\flat + \mathbb{T}_5^\flat + \mathbb{T}_6^\flat$	$\mathbb{T}_1 + \mathbb{T}_2 + \mathbb{T}_3 + 3\mathbb{T}_7$
6	$\mathbb{T}_1 + 2\mathbb{T}_7$	$\mathbb{T}_4^\flat + 2(\mathbb{T}_4^\flat + \mathbb{T}_5^\flat + \mathbb{T}_6^\flat)$
7	$2\mathbb{T}_4^\flat + \mathbb{T}_5^\flat + \mathbb{T}_6^\flat$	$2\mathbb{T}_1 + 4\mathbb{T}_7 + \mathbb{T}_2 + \mathbb{T}_3$
8	$\mathbb{T}_1 + \mathbb{T}_2 + \mathbb{T}_3 + 2\mathbb{T}_7$	$3(\mathbb{T}_4^\flat + \mathbb{T}_5^\flat + \mathbb{T}_6^\flat)$
9	$\mathbb{T}_4^\flat + 2(\mathbb{T}_5^\flat + \mathbb{T}_6^\flat)$	$\mathbb{T}_1 + 2(\mathbb{T}_2 + \mathbb{T}_3) + 5\mathbb{T}_7$
10	$\mathbb{T}_2 + \mathbb{T}_3 + 3\mathbb{T}_7$	$4(\mathbb{T}_5^\flat + \mathbb{T}_6^\flat) + 3\mathbb{T}_4^\flat$
11	$2(\mathbb{T}_4^\flat + \mathbb{T}_5^\flat + \mathbb{T}_6^\flat)$	$2(\mathbb{T}_1 + \mathbb{T}_2 + \mathbb{T}_3 + 3\mathbb{T}_7)$
12	$2\mathbb{T}_1 + \mathbb{T}_2 + \mathbb{T}_3 + 3\mathbb{T}_7$	

The Poincaré series (9) suggest, but do not prove, the existence of a set of primary and secondary invariants such that the modules of invariant vectors have the form given in Proposition 5.7 below. The fact that such invariants exist nonetheless can be established using the following well known concept and proposition.

Definition 5.5 Homogeneous system of parameters, [PS08]. *Let n be the Krull dimension of a graded algebra A. A set of homogeneous elements $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n$ of positive degree is a* homogeneous system of parameters *for the algebra if A is finitely generated as a $\mathbb{C}[\theta_1, \ldots \theta_n]$-module.*

Proposition 5.6 [Neu07, PS08, Sta79]. *If an algebra is a finitely generated free module over one homogeneous system of parameters, then it is a finitely generated free module over any of its homogeneous systems of parameters.*

An algebra that satisfies the property of Proposition 5.6 is said to be *Cohen-Macaulay*.

Proposition 5.7. *Let $G^\flat$ be a binary polyhedral group, V a $G^\flat$-module and U the natural $G^\flat$-module. Let F_i, $i \in \Omega$, be the ground form in $\mathbb{C}[U]$ of degree $\frac{|G|}{\nu_i}$. Then*

$$\mathcal{P}_{m|G|}(V \otimes \mathbb{C}[U])^{G^\flat} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } V \text{ is spinorial,} \\ \mathbb{C}[F_i^{m\nu_i}, F_j^{m\nu_j}](1 \bigoplus_{r=1}^{m-1} F_i^{r\nu_i} F_j^{(m-r)\nu_j}) & \text{if } V \text{ is trivial,} \\ \bigoplus_{r=1}^{m \dim V} \mathbb{C}[F_i^{m\nu_i}, F_j^{m\nu_j}]\zeta_r & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for some invariant vectors $\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_{m \dim V}$ of degree $m|G|$.

Proof. For the case of the trivial representation, recall that $F_i^{\nu_i}$ is an invariant form and has degree $|G|$ (cf. Definition 4.3). Therefore

$$\mathbb{C}[F_i^{m\nu_i}, F_j^{m\nu_j}](1 \bigoplus_{r=1}^{m-1} F_i^{r\nu_i} F_j^{(m-r)\nu_j}) \subset \mathcal{P}_{m|G|}(V_\epsilon \otimes \mathbb{C}[U])^{G^\flat}.$$

Since F_i and F_j are algebraically independent, the Poincaré series (9) gives equality.

In the last case, recall first that each copy of $V_{\overline{\chi}}$ in $\mathbb{C}[U]$ contributes one invariant vector to $(V_\chi \otimes \mathbb{C}[U])^{G^\flat}$. It is well known that the full isotypical component is Cohen-Macaulay [Sta79],

$$(V \otimes \mathbb{C}[U])^{G^\flat} = \bigoplus_{r=1}^{k} \mathbb{C}[\theta_1, \theta_2]\eta_r.$$

We will show that the prehomogenised module is Cohen-Macaulay as well, allowing us to apply Proposition 5.6.

The prehomogenisation operator is linear so that it moves through the sum. Moreover, since it merely eliminates certain elements, no relations can be introduced, therefore the sum remains direct.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}_{m|G|}(V \otimes \mathbb{C}[U])^{G^\flat} &= \mathcal{P}_{m|G|} \bigoplus_{r=1}^{k} \mathbb{C}[\theta_1, \theta_2]\eta_r \\ &= \bigoplus_{r=1}^{k} \mathcal{P}_{m|G|}\mathbb{C}[\theta_1, \theta_2]\eta_r = \bigoplus_{r=1}^{k'} \mathbb{C}[\theta_1^{\nu_1}, \theta_2^{\nu_2}]\tilde{\eta}_r\end{aligned}$$

where $m|G|$ divides $\nu_i \deg \theta_i$ (this always holds for some integer ν_i because θ_i is a polynomial in the ground forms, all whose degrees divide $|G|$) and

$$\{\tilde{\eta}_1, \dots \tilde{\eta}_{k'}\} = \{\theta_1^{a_1}\theta_2^{a_2}\eta_j \mid m|G| \text{ divides } \deg \theta_1^{a_1}\theta_2^{a_2}\tilde{\eta}_j,\ 0 \leqslant a_i < \nu_i,\ 0 \leqslant j \leqslant k\}.$$

This shows that $\mathcal{P}_{m|G|}(V \otimes \mathbb{C}[U])^{G^\flat}$ is a free $\mathbb{C}[\theta_1^{\nu_1}, \theta_2^{\nu_2}]$-module. Therefore, by Proposition 5.6, $\mathcal{P}_{m|G|}(V \otimes \mathbb{C}[U])^{G^\flat}$ is free over any homogeneous system of parameters. The proof is done if we show that $\{F_i^{m\nu_i}, F_j^{m\nu_j}\}$ is a homogeneous system of parameters, i.e. we need to show that $\mathcal{P}_{m|G|}(V \otimes \mathbb{C}[U])^{G^\flat}$ is finitely generated over $\mathbb{C}[F_i^{m\nu_i}, F_j^{m\nu_j}]$. But the parameters $\theta_i^{\nu_i}$ are invariant forms whose degrees divide $m|G|$. Therefore $\mathbb{C}[\theta_1^{\nu_1}, \theta_2^{\nu_2}]$ is a subset of $\mathcal{P}_{m|G|}\mathbb{C}[U]^{G^\flat} = \mathbb{C}[F_i^{m\nu_i}, F_j^{m\nu_j}](1 \bigoplus_{r=1}^{m-1} F_i^{r\nu_i}F_j^{(m-r)\nu_j})$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}_{m|G|}(V \otimes \mathbb{C}[U])^{G^\flat} &= \bigoplus_{r=1}^{k'} \mathbb{C}[\theta_1^{\nu_1}, \theta_2^{\nu_2}]\tilde{\eta}_r \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^{k'} \mathbb{C}[F_i^{m\nu_i}, F_j^{m\nu_j}](1 \bigoplus_{s=1}^{m-1} F_i^{s\nu_i}F_j^{(m-s)\nu_j})\tilde{\eta}_r \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^{k''} \mathbb{C}[F_i^{m\nu_i}, F_j^{m\nu_j}]\tilde{\tilde{\eta}}_r\end{aligned}$$

where $\{\tilde{\tilde{\eta}}_r \mid r = 1 \dots k''\} = \{\tilde{\eta}_r,\ F_i^{s\nu_i}F_j^{(m-s)\nu_j}\tilde{\eta}_r \mid r = 1 \dots k',\ s = 1, \dots, m-1\}$, i.e. $\{F_i^{\nu_i}, F_j^{\nu_j}\}$ is indeed a homogeneous system of parameters. Now, by Proposition 5.6 there exist elements ζ_r that freely generate $\mathcal{P}_{m|G|}(V \otimes \mathbb{C}[U])^{G^\flat}$ over $\mathbb{C}[F_i^{m\nu_i}, F_j^{m\nu_j}]$ and the Poincaré series (9) tells us the number and degrees of these generators. □

The next theorem combines the results so far to obtain the structure of the invariant vectors as a module over the automorphic functions. Recall the notation

$$\mathbb{I}_i = \begin{cases} \mathcal{H}_\Gamma F_i^{\nu_i} = \frac{F_i^{\nu_i}}{F_\Gamma^{\nu_\Gamma}}, & \text{if } \Gamma_i \neq \Gamma \\ 1 & \text{if } \Gamma_i = \Gamma \end{cases} \tag{10}$$

from Definition 4.3, where we use $\mathcal{H}_\Gamma$ from Definition 4.2. Thus the orbit of poles is suppressed in the notation but we keep the orbit of zeros explicit. Recall that the dependence on the group G was already suppressed in the notation for the ground forms F_i.

The classical theory on ground forms [Dol09, Kle56, Kle93] shows there are two linear relations among the automorphic functions $\mathbb{I}_\mathfrak{a}$, $\mathbb{I}_\mathfrak{b}$ and $\mathbb{I}_\mathfrak{c}$, so that each of them can be linearly expressed in another if the latter is nonconstant. In particular

$$\mathcal{H}_\Gamma \mathbb{C}[F_i^{\nu_i}, F_j^{\nu_j}] = \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{I}] \tag{11}$$

if $\mathbb{I} = \mathbb{I}_i$ and $\Gamma_i \neq \Gamma$.

Theorem 5.8. *If $G < \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is a finite group acting on a vector space V, then the space of invariant vectors*

$$\big(V \otimes \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{P}^1)_\Gamma\big)^G$$

is a free $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{I}]$-module generated by $\dim V$ vectors.

Proof. Most of the work that goes into this proof has been done above. If G is one of the groups $\mathbb{D}_{2M}$, $\mathbb{T}$, $\mathbb{O}$ or $\mathbb{Y}$ we can take the result of Proposition 5.7, with $m = 1$, as starting point. If V is a nontrivial irreducible G-module one can apply Lemma 4.5, Proposition 5.7 and equation (11) to find

$$\begin{aligned}(V \otimes \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{P}^1)_\Gamma)^G &= \mathcal{H}_\Gamma \mathcal{P}_{|G|}(V \otimes \mathbb{C}[U])^{G^\flat} \\ &= \mathcal{H}_\Gamma \bigoplus_{r=1}^{\dim V} \mathbb{C}[F_i^{\nu_i}, F_j^{\nu_j}]\zeta_r \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^{\dim V} \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{I}]\bar{\zeta}_r\end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{\zeta}_r = \mathcal{H}_\Gamma \zeta_r$. The remaining groups, $\mathbb{Z}/N$ and $\mathbb{D}_{2M-1}$, equal their own Schur cover (i.e. have trivial Schur multiplier), so we may replace $G^\flat$ by G in the above computation. The case of the cyclic group is much simpler due to the fact that all irreducible characters are one-dimensional. The case of the dihedral groups are explicitly calculated in [KLS14, Kni14]. The same results are found, namely $\dim V$ generators $\bar{\zeta}_r$.

To prove the claim we need to establish independence of the invariant vectors $\bar{\zeta}_r$ over $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{I}]$. Suppose

$$p_1(\mathbb{I})\bar{\zeta}_1 + \ldots + p_{\dim V}(\mathbb{I})\bar{\zeta}_{\dim V} = 0, \qquad p_r \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{I}].$$

Evaluated anywhere in the domain $D = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus (\Gamma \cup \Gamma_\mathfrak{a} \cup \Gamma_\mathfrak{b} \cup \Gamma_\mathfrak{c})$, the vectors $\bar{\zeta}_r$ are independent over $\mathbb{C}$, by Proposition 3.2. Hence the functions p_r all vanish on D. Because D is not a discrete set and the functions p_r are rational, they must be identically zero. □

6. Determinant of Invariant Vectors

In the previous section we showed that the space of invariant vectors in V has $\dim V$ generators, but we have little information on these generators. In this section we obtain their determinant. This provides a powerfull invariant in the theory of Automorphic Lie Algebras.

We use the notion of a divisor on a Riemann surface S. A divisor is a formal $\mathbb{Z}$-linear combi-

nation of points of S. The divisor of a meromorphic function f on S is the sum

$$\operatorname{div}(f) = \sum_{\lambda \in S} \operatorname{ord}_\lambda(f)\, \lambda$$

where $\operatorname{ord}_\lambda(f)$ is the order of f at λ: the smallest power in a local Laurent expansion with nonzero coefficient. The results of the previous section allow us to assign a divisor on the Riemann sphere to each character of a polyhedral group, defined by the invariant vectors.

Definition 6.1 Determinant of invariant vectors. *Let χ be a nontrivial irreducible character of a polyhedral group G. By Theorem 5.8 there are invariant vectors $v_1, \dots, v_{\chi(1)}$ freely generating $\big(V_\chi \otimes \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{P}^1)_\Gamma\big)^G$ over $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{P}^1)_\Gamma^G = \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{I}]$. Define*

$$div(\chi)_\Gamma = div\big(\det(v_1, \dots, v_{\chi(1)})\big)$$

and extend the definition to reducible characters of G by the rules

$$\begin{aligned} div(\epsilon)_\Gamma &= 0, \\ div\big(\chi + \chi'\big)_\Gamma &= div(\chi)_\Gamma + div\big(\chi'\big)_\Gamma . \end{aligned}$$

We will call $div(\chi)_\Gamma$ the determinant of invariant χ-vectors.

A general formula for the determinant of invariant vectors can be expressed using the following half integers.

Definition 6.2 $\kappa(\chi)$. *Let V be a module of a polyhedral group G affording the character χ. We define the half integer $\kappa(\chi)_i$ by*

$$\kappa(\chi)_i = {}^1\!/_2 \operatorname{codim} V^{\langle g_i \rangle} = \frac{\chi(1)}{2} - \frac{1}{2\nu_i} \sum_{j=0}^{\nu_i - 1} \chi(g_i^j), \qquad i \in \Omega,$$

where $\operatorname{codim} V^{\langle g_i \rangle} = \dim V - \dim V^{\langle g_i \rangle}$.

Lemma 2.1 translates to

$$\sum_{i \in \Omega} \kappa(\chi)_i = \dim V_\chi - \dim V_\chi^G = \chi(1) - (\chi, \epsilon). \tag{12}$$

The determinant of invariant vectors is a relative invariant of G. Moreover, by Proposition 3.2, it can only vanish on an exceptional orbit. This implies that the determinant is a monomial in ground forms

$$\det(v_1, \dots, v_{\chi(1)}) = \mathcal{H}_\Gamma \prod_{i \in \Omega} F_i^{\delta_i}. \tag{13}$$

What remains is to find the orders $\delta_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$. We can already find their sum,

$$\sum_{i \in \Omega} \delta_i = \sum_{i \in \Omega} \nu_i \kappa(\chi)_i. \tag{14}$$

Indeed, by Lemma 4.5 we know that each invariant vector v_i originating from a nontrivial character is the homogenisation of a vector over $\mathbb{C}[X, Y]$ of degree $|G|$. Therefore the degree $\deg \prod_{i \in \Omega} F_i^{\delta_i} = \prod_{i \in \Omega} \delta_i \deg F_i = \sum_{i \in \Omega} \frac{\delta_i |G|}{\nu_i}$ equals $(\chi(1) - (\chi, \epsilon))|G|$. That is, $\sum_{i \in \Omega} \frac{\delta_i}{\nu_i} = (\chi(1) - (\chi, \epsilon)) = \sum_{i \in \Omega} \kappa(\chi)_i$, where the last step is given by equation (12). In the remainder of this section we find a lower bound for each δ_i separately, which can be seen to be sharp due to (14), and thus obtain an explicit description of the determinant of invariant vectors $\operatorname{div}(\chi)_\Gamma$.

Let G be a finite group acting holomorphically and effectively on a Riemann surface S. Then a stabiliser subgroup G_{λ_0}, $\lambda_0 \in S$, is cyclic. Moreover, if $G_{\lambda_0} = \langle g \rangle$ has order $\nu \geqslant 2$, then there is a local coordinate z centered at λ_0 in which $g(z) = \omega z$, where ω is a primitive root of unity of order ν [Mir95]. As a consequence we have the following.

Lemma 6.3. *Adopting the above notation, if $f \in \mathcal{M}(S)$ and $f(g^{-1}\lambda) = \omega^j f(\lambda)$ for all $\lambda \in S$, then the Laurent expansion of f in the local coordinate z linearising g is of the form*

$$f(z) = \sum_{n \in j + \mathbb{Z}\nu} f_n z^n.$$

In particular, $ord_{\lambda_0}(f) \in j + \mathbb{Z}\nu$.

Proof. In terms of the Laurent expansion, the hypothesis regarding the action of g on $f(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} f_n z^n$ reads $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} f_n(\omega z)^n = \omega^j \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} f_n z^n$, i.e.

$$\omega^n f_n = \omega^j f_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

This implies $f_n = 0$ if $n \notin j + \mathbb{Z}\nu$, since ω is a primitive root of unity of order ν. □

If $\tau : G \to \mathrm{GL}(V)$ is the representation affording a real valued character χ then the eigenvalues of $\tau(g)$ are powers of ω and the nonreal eigenvalues come in conjugate pairs. We denote the multiplicity of the eigenvalue 1 and -1 by κ_+ and κ_- respectively and the number of conjugate pairs by κ_c. That is, $\kappa_+ = \dim V^{\langle g \rangle}$, $\kappa_+ + \kappa_- = \dim V^{\langle g^2 \rangle}$ and $\kappa_+ + \kappa_- + 2\kappa_c = \chi(1)$. If $g = g_i$ then $1/2\,\kappa_- + \kappa_c = \kappa(\chi)_i$.

There is a basis for V such that

$$\tau(g) = \mathrm{diag}(1, \ldots, 1, -1, \ldots, -1, \omega^{j_1}, \omega^{-j_1}, \ldots, \omega^{j_{\kappa_c}}, \omega^{-j_{\kappa_c}})$$

and we may assume that $1 \leqslant j_1, \ldots, j_{\kappa_c} \leqslant \nu - 1$. Notice however that these numbers depend on the particular primitive root of unity ω, which in turn is defined by the chart that gives the local parameter z linearizing g near λ_0.

Let the meromorphic invariant vectors on the Riemann sphere be

$$\left(V_\chi \otimes \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{P}^1)_\Gamma\right)^G = \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{I}]v_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{I}]v_{\chi(1)}$$

and express each generator v_r by a tuple corresponding to the aforementioned basis for V,

$$v_r = (f_1^r, \ldots, f_{\kappa_+}^r, h_1^r, \ldots, h_{\kappa_-}^r, p_1^r, q_1^r, \ldots, p_{\kappa_c}^r, q_{\kappa_c}^r)$$

where $f_s^r,\ h_s^r,\ p_s^r,\ q_s^r \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{P}^1)_\Gamma$. Notice that $\det(v_1, \ldots, v_{\chi(1)})$ reads

$$\begin{vmatrix} f_1^1 & \cdots & f_{\kappa_+}^1 & h_1^1 & \cdots & h_{\kappa_-}^1 & p_1^1 & q_1^1 & \cdots & p_{\kappa_c}^1 & q_{\kappa_c}^1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ f_1^{\chi(1)} & \cdots & f_{\kappa_+}^{\chi(1)} & h_1^{\chi(1)} & \cdots & h_{\kappa_-}^{\chi(1)} & p_1^{\chi(1)} & q_1^{\chi(1)} & \cdots & p_{\kappa_c}^{\chi(1)} & q_{\kappa_c}^{\chi(1)} \end{vmatrix}. \tag{15}$$

Let $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and $\langle g \rangle = G_{\lambda_0}$. Thanks to Proposition 3.2 we have the space of evaluated invariant vectors $\varepsilon_{\lambda_0}\left(V_\chi \otimes \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{P}^1)_\Gamma\right)^G = V^{\langle g \rangle}$, which implies $h_s^r(\lambda_0) = p_s^r(\lambda_0) = q_s^r(\lambda_0) = 0$. Our goal is to investigate the orders of these zeros.

Lemma 6.4. *In the setting described above, we find the following orders of the zero at λ_0:*

$$ord_{\lambda_0}(h_s^r) = \nu/2,$$
$$ord_{\lambda_0}(p_s^r) = \nu - j_s,$$
$$ord_{\lambda_0}(q_s^r) = j_s.$$

Proof. All functions in question vanish at λ_0, i.e. the order at this point is positive. Adding the information from Lemma 6.3 gives

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{ord}_{\lambda_0}(h_s^r) &\in \nu/2 + \mathbb{N}_0\nu,\\ \mathrm{ord}_{\lambda_0}(p_s^r) &\in \nu - j_s + \mathbb{N}_0\nu,\\ \mathrm{ord}_{\lambda_0}(q_s^r) &\in j_s + \mathbb{N}_0\nu\end{aligned}$$

(here we use that $1 \leqslant j_s \leqslant \nu - 1$). Thus, each column $h_s^{\cdot}$ in (15) adds at least $\frac{\nu}{2}\lambda_0$ to the divisor $\mathrm{div}(\chi)_\Gamma$, and the pair of columns $p_s^{\cdot}$ and $q_s^{\cdot}$ adds at least $\nu\lambda_0$ to the divisor $\mathrm{div}(\chi)_\Gamma$. Hence, if $g = g_i$ and $\nu = \nu_i$, the coefficient of λ_0 in this divisor is at least $\frac{\nu_i}{2}\kappa_- + \nu_i\kappa_c = \nu_i\kappa(\chi)_i$. But this coefficient is δ_i in (13), thus equation (14) shows this lower bound is sharp. □

The observation $\delta_i = \nu_i\kappa(\chi)_i$ in the previous proof shows the 'if' part of the following.

Theorem 6.5. *Let χ be a character of a polyhedral group $G < \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ and let $\Gamma \in \mathbb{P}^1/G$. Then its determinant of invariant vectors is given by*

$$div(\chi)_\Gamma = \sum_{i\in\Omega} \kappa(\chi)_i(\nu_i\Gamma_i - \nu_\Gamma\Gamma)$$

if and only if χ is real valued. Here we identify a subset $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ with the divisor $\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\gamma$.

To include the 'only if' we consider the two nonreal valued irreducible characters of polyhedral groups: $\mathbb{T}_2$ and $\mathbb{T}_3$. Example 7.2 shows that here the formula of the theorem does not apply.

7. Examples illustrating Theorem 6.5

The numbers $\kappa(\chi)_i$ appear in the theory of Automorphic Lie Algebras due to Theorem 6.5. We list them in Table 7. Notice that $\nu_i\kappa(\chi)_i$, $i \in \Omega$, are integers if and only if χ is real valued. The κ's found in the latest developments [KLS15b] on Automorphic Lie Algebras can be constructed from the table, by collecting the irreducible characters involved.

Table 7 is determined using the characters of the polyhedral groups. Therefore we will present these first. Consider the dihedral group $\mathbb{D}_N = \langle r, s \mid r^N = s^2 = (rs)^2 = 1\rangle$. If N is odd then $\mathbb{D}_N$ has two one-dimensional characters, χ_1 and χ_2. If N is even there are two additional one-dimensional characters, χ_3 and χ_4.

Table 4. One-dimensional characters of $\mathbb{D}_N$.

g	χ_1	χ_2	χ_3	χ_4
r	1	1	-1	-1
s	1	-1	1	-1

The remaining irreducible characters are two-dimensional (indeed, there is a normal subgroup of index 2: $\mathbb{Z}/N$, [SS77]). We denote these characters by ψ_j, for $1 \leqslant j < N/2$. They take the values

$$\psi_j(r^i) = \omega_N^{ji} + \omega_N^{-ji}, \qquad \psi_j(sr^i) = 0\,, \tag{16}$$

where $\omega_N = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{N}}$.

The characters of the binary tetrahedral group are collected in Table 2 above. Below we give the character tables for $\mathbb{O}^\flat$ and $\mathbb{Y}^\flat$. For this last table we define the golden section ϕ^+ and its

conjugate ϕ^- in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$

$$\phi^{\pm} = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2}.$$

Table 5. Irreducible characters of the binary octahedral group $\mathbb{O}^\flat$.

g	1	$g_{\mathfrak{c}}$	$g_{\mathfrak{b}}{}^2$	$g_{\mathfrak{a}}{}^2$	z	$g_{\mathfrak{a}}{}^3$	$g_{\mathfrak{b}}$	$g_{\mathfrak{a}}$
$\lvert C_G(g)\rvert$	48	4	6	8	48	8	6	8
$\mathbb{O}_1$	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
$\mathbb{O}_2$	1	-1	1	1	1	-1	1	-1
$\mathbb{O}_3$	2	0	-1	2	2	0	-1	0
$\mathbb{O}_4^\flat$	2	0	-1	0	-2	$-\sqrt{2}$	1	$\sqrt{2}$
$\overline{\mathbb{O}_5^\flat}$	2	0	-1	0	-2	$\sqrt{2}$	1	$-\sqrt{2}$
$\mathbb{O}_6$	3	1	0	-1	3	-1	0	-1
$\mathbb{O}_7$	3	-1	0	-1	3	1	0	1
$\mathbb{O}_8^\flat$	4	0	1	0	-4	0	-1	0

Table 6. Irreducible characters of the binary icosahedral group $\mathbb{Y}^\flat$.

g	1	$g_{\mathfrak{a}}{}^2$	$g_{\mathfrak{a}}{}^4$	$g_{\mathfrak{b}}$	$g_{\mathfrak{c}}$	$g_{\mathfrak{b}}{}^2$	$g_{\mathfrak{a}}{}^3$	z	$g_{\mathfrak{a}}$
$\lvert C_G(g)\rvert$	120	10	10	6	4	6	10	120	10
$\mathbb{Y}_1$	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
$\mathbb{Y}_2^\flat$	2	$-\phi^-$	$-\phi^+$	1	0	-1	ϕ^-	-2	ϕ^+
$\overline{\mathbb{Y}_3^\flat}$	2	$-\phi^+$	$-\phi^-$	1	0	-1	ϕ^+	-2	ϕ^-
$\mathbb{Y}_4$	3	ϕ^+	ϕ^-	0	-1	0	ϕ^+	3	ϕ^-
$\mathbb{Y}_5$	3	ϕ^-	ϕ^+	0	-1	0	ϕ^-	3	ϕ^+
$\mathbb{Y}_6$	4	-1	-1	1	0	1	-1	4	-1
$\mathbb{Y}_7^\flat$	4	-1	-1	-1	0	1	1	-4	1
$\mathbb{Y}_8$	5	0	0	-1	1	-1	0	5	0
$\mathbb{Y}_9^\flat$	6	1	1	0	0	0	-1	-6	-1

Table 7. Rows 3, 4 and 5 display $\kappa(\chi)_i$ and rows 6, 7 and 8 display $\nu_i\kappa(\chi)_i$.

	χ_2	χ_3	χ_4	ψ_j	$\mathbb{T}_2$	$\mathbb{T}_3$	$\mathbb{T}_7$	$\mathbb{O}_2$	$\mathbb{O}_3$	$\mathbb{O}_6$	$\mathbb{O}_7$	$\mathbb{Y}_4$	$\mathbb{Y}_5$	$\mathbb{Y}_6$	$\mathbb{Y}_8$
	1	1	1	2	1	1	3	1	2	3	3	3	3	4	5
$\mathfrak{a}$	0	$1/2$	$1/2$	1	$1/2$	$1/2$	1	$1/2$	$1/2$	$3/2$	1	1	1	2	2
$\mathfrak{b}$	$1/2$	$1/2$	0	$1/2$	$1/2$	$1/2$	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	2
$\mathfrak{c}$	$1/2$	0	$1/2$	$1/2$	0	0	1	$1/2$	$1/2$	$1/2$	1	1	1	1	1
$\mathfrak{a}$	0	$N/2$	$N/2$	N	$3/2$	$3/2$	3	2	2	6	4	5	5	10	10
$\mathfrak{b}$	1	1	0	1	$3/2$	$3/2$	3	0	3	3	3	3	3	3	6
$\mathfrak{c}$	1	0	1	1	0	0	2	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2

Example 7.1 Determinants of $\mathbb{D}_N$-invariant vectors. *For the dihedral group we have explicit descriptions for the isotypical components $\mathbb{C}[X,Y]^\chi$ at hand; they are explicitly computed in both [KLS14] and [Kni14]. Here we give the results without derivation.*

The characters of $\mathbb{D}_N = \langle r, s \mid r^N = s^2 = (rs)^2 = 1 \rangle$ *are given above. We will choose bases in which* r *acts diagonally, so that the matrices for the generators in the representation* ψ_j *become*

$$\rho(r) = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_N^j & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_N^{N-j} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \rho(s) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{17}$$

where $\omega_N = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{N}}$. *By confirming that the group relations* $\rho_r^N = \rho_s^2 = (\rho_r \rho_s)^2 = \mathrm{Id}$ *hold and that the trace* $\psi_j = \mathrm{tr} \circ \rho$ *is given by (16) one can check that this is indeed the correct representation.*

Suppose $\{X, Y\}$ *is a basis for* $V_{\psi_1}^*$, *corresponding to (17). One then finds the relative invariant forms*

$$F_{\mathfrak{a}} = XY, \qquad F_{\mathfrak{b}} = \frac{X^N + Y^N}{2}, \qquad F_{\mathfrak{c}} = \frac{X^N - Y^N}{2}, \tag{18}$$

and equivariant vectors as presented in Table 8 and Table 9.

Table 8. Module generators η_i in $(V_\chi \otimes \mathbb{C}[X,Y])^{\mathbb{D}_N} = \bigoplus_i \mathbb{C}[F_{\mathfrak{a}}, F_{\mathfrak{b}}]\eta_i$, N odd.

χ	χ_1	χ_2	ψ_j
η_i	1	$F_{\mathfrak{c}}$	$\begin{pmatrix} X^j \\ Y^j \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} Y^{N-j} \\ X^{N-j} \end{pmatrix}$

Table 9. Module generators η_i in $(V_\chi \otimes \mathbb{C}[X,Y])^{\mathbb{D}_{2N}} = \bigoplus_i \mathbb{C}[F_{\mathfrak{a}}, F_{\mathfrak{b}}^2]\eta_i$.

χ	χ_1	χ_2	χ_3	χ_4	ψ_j
η_i	1	$F_{\mathfrak{b}}F_{\mathfrak{c}}$	$F_{\mathfrak{b}}$	$F_{\mathfrak{c}}$	$\begin{pmatrix} X^j \\ Y^j \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} Y^{2N-j} \\ X^{2N-j} \end{pmatrix}$

Now we can readily check Theorem 6.5 for $\mathbb{D}_N$ *by computing all the determinants and compare in each case the exponents of* $F_{\mathfrak{a}}$, $F_{\mathfrak{b}}$ *and* $F_{\mathfrak{c}}$ *to the relevant column in Table 7. First notice that all representations of* $\mathbb{D}_N$ *are of real type.*

We start with χ_2. *If* N *is odd then* $\mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{2N}^{\chi_2} = (\mathbb{C}[F_{\mathfrak{a}}, F_{\mathfrak{b}}]F_{\mathfrak{c}})_{2N} = \mathbb{C}F_{\mathfrak{b}}F_{\mathfrak{c}}$. *If* N *is even, we use the extension* $G^\flat = \mathbb{D}_{2N}$ *and also find* $\mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{2N}^{\chi_2} = \left(\mathbb{C}[F_{\mathfrak{a}}, F_{\mathfrak{b}}^2]F_{\mathfrak{b}}F_{\mathfrak{c}}\right)_{2N} = \mathbb{C}F_{\mathfrak{b}}F_{\mathfrak{c}}$. *The exponents* $(0,1,1)$ *are indeed identical to* $(\nu_{\mathfrak{a}}\kappa(\chi_2)_{\mathfrak{a}}, \nu_{\mathfrak{b}}\kappa(\chi_2)_{\mathfrak{b}}, \nu_{\mathfrak{c}}\kappa(\chi_2)_{\mathfrak{c}})$ *as found in the* χ_2*-column of Table 7.*

For χ_3 *and* N *even,* $G^\flat = \mathbb{D}_{2N}$, *one finds* $\mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{2N}^{\chi_3} = \left(\mathbb{C}[F_{\mathfrak{a}}, F_{\mathfrak{b}}^2]F_{\mathfrak{b}}\right)_{2N} = \mathbb{C}F_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\frac{N}{2}}F_{\mathfrak{b}}$, *and the last linear character* χ_4 *gives* $\mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{2N}^{\chi_4} = \left(\mathbb{C}[F_{\mathfrak{a}}, F_{\mathfrak{b}}^2]F_{\mathfrak{c}}\right)_{2N} = \mathbb{C}F_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\frac{N}{2}}F_{\mathfrak{c}}$, *also in agreement with Table 7.*

Now we consider the two-dimensional representations, when N is odd;

$$\det \mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{2N}^{\psi_j} = \det\left(\mathbb{C}[F_{\mathfrak{a}},F_{\mathfrak{b}}]\begin{pmatrix} X^j & Y^j \\ Y^{N-j} & X^{N-j}\end{pmatrix}\right)_{2N}$$
$$= \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}\det\begin{pmatrix} F_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\frac{N-j}{2}}F_{\mathfrak{b}}X^j & F_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\frac{N-j}{2}}F_{\mathfrak{b}}Y^j \\ F_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\frac{N+j}{2}}Y^{N-j} & F_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\frac{N+j}{2}}X^{N-j}\end{pmatrix} = \mathbb{C}F_{\mathfrak{a}}^N F_{\mathfrak{b}}F_{\mathfrak{c}} & j \text{ odd}, \\ \mathbb{C}\det\begin{pmatrix} F_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\frac{2N-j}{2}}X^j & F_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\frac{2N-j}{2}}Y^j \\ F_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\frac{j}{2}}F_{\mathfrak{b}}Y^{N-j} & F_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\frac{j}{2}}F_{\mathfrak{b}}X^{N-j}\end{pmatrix} = \mathbb{C}F_{\mathfrak{a}}^N F_{\mathfrak{b}}F_{\mathfrak{c}} & j \text{ even}. \end{cases}$$

If N is even and $G^\flat = \mathbb{D}_{2N}$, we only consider $2j$ because the statement of Theorem 6.5 only concerns representations of G, not for instance spinorial representation of $G^\flat$;

$$\det \mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{2N}^{\psi_{2j}} = \det\left(\mathbb{C}[F_{\mathfrak{a}},F_{\mathfrak{b}}^2]\begin{pmatrix} X^{2j} & Y^{2j} \\ Y^{2N-2j} & X^{2N-2j}\end{pmatrix}\right)_{2N}$$
$$= \mathbb{C}\det\begin{pmatrix} F_{\mathfrak{a}}^{N-j}X^{2j} & F_{\mathfrak{a}}^{N-j}Y^j \\ F_{\mathfrak{a}}^{j}Y^{2N-2j} & F_{\mathfrak{a}}^{j}X^{2N-2j}\end{pmatrix} = \mathbb{C}F_{\mathfrak{a}}^N(X^{2N}-Y^{2N}) = \mathbb{C}F_{\mathfrak{a}}^N F_{\mathfrak{b}}F_{\mathfrak{a}}.$$

This confirms Theorem 6.5 for all representations of the dihedral group.

The other examples that are feasible to do by hand are the remaining one-dimensional characters. These include the only representations of the polyhedral groups that are not real valued, namely $\mathbb{T}_2$ and $\mathbb{T}_3$, cf. Table 2.

Example 7.2 One-dimensional characters. *Let the characters of the ground forms be indexed by Ω,*

$$gF_i = \chi_i(g)F_i, \qquad i \in \Omega.$$

For the tetrahedral group, the degrees of the ground forms are $d_{\mathfrak{a}} = d_{\mathfrak{b}} = 4$ and $d_{\mathfrak{c}} = 6$, cf. Table 1. Necessarily $\chi_{\mathfrak{a}} = \mathbb{T}_2$ and $\chi_{\mathfrak{b}} = \mathbb{T}_3$, or the other way around. There is no way to distinguish, (but they cannot be equal because there is a degree 8 invariant, which can be deduced using the Molien series) so we take the first choice. The last ground form is invariant, $\chi_{\mathfrak{c}} = \mathbb{T}_1$, because $\chi_{\mathfrak{c}}^{\nu_{\mathfrak{c}}} = \mathbb{T}_1$, $\nu_{\mathfrak{c}} = 2$, and there is no element of order two in $\mathcal{A}\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}/3$. We get $\mathbb{C}[X,Y]^{\mathbb{T}_1} = \mathbb{C}[F_{\mathfrak{a}}F_{\mathfrak{b}},F_{\mathfrak{c}}](F_{\mathfrak{a}}^3+F_{\mathfrak{b}}^3)$ and $\mathbb{C}[X,Y]^{\mathbb{T}_2} = \mathbb{C}[F_{\mathfrak{a}}F_{\mathfrak{b}},F_{\mathfrak{c}}](F_{\mathfrak{a}}\oplus F_{\mathfrak{b}}^2)$ and $\mathbb{C}[X,Y]^{\mathbb{T}_3} = \mathbb{C}[F_{\mathfrak{a}}F_{\mathfrak{b}},F_{\mathfrak{c}}](F_{\mathfrak{a}}^2\oplus F_{\mathfrak{b}})$, using for instance generating functions. Thus

$$\mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{12}^{\mathbb{T}_2} = \big(\mathbb{C}[F_{\mathfrak{a}}F_{\mathfrak{b}},F_{\mathfrak{c}}](F_{\mathfrak{a}}\oplus F_{\mathfrak{b}}^2)\big)_{12} = \mathbb{C}F_{\mathfrak{a}}^2F_{\mathfrak{b}},$$
$$\mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{12}^{\mathbb{T}_3} = \big(\mathbb{C}[F_{\mathfrak{a}}F_{\mathfrak{b}},F_{\mathfrak{c}}](F_{\mathfrak{a}}^2\oplus F_{\mathfrak{b}})\big)_{12} = \mathbb{C}F_{\mathfrak{a}}F_{\mathfrak{b}}^2,$$
$$\det \mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{12}^{\mathbb{T}_2+\mathbb{T}_3} = \mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{12}^{\mathbb{T}_2}\mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{12}^{\mathbb{T}_3} = \mathbb{C}F_{\mathfrak{a}}^3F_{\mathfrak{b}}^3.$$

We see that the formula of Theorem 6.5 indeed does not hold for the characters $\mathbb{T}_2$ and $\mathbb{T}_3$ of complex type, but it does for the real valued character $\mathbb{T}_2+\mathbb{T}_3$.

The remaining one-dimensional character to check is $\mathbb{O}_2$. We have $\chi_{\mathfrak{a}} = \mathbb{O}_2$, $\chi_{\mathfrak{b}} = \mathbb{O}_1$ and $\chi_{\mathfrak{c}} = \mathbb{O}_2$ and $\mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{24}^{\mathbb{O}_2} = \big(\mathbb{C}[F_{\mathfrak{a}}^2,F_{\mathfrak{b}}](F_{\mathfrak{a}}\oplus F_{\mathfrak{c}})\big)_{24} = \mathbb{C}F_{\mathfrak{a}}^2F_{\mathfrak{c}}$, in correspondence with the $\mathbb{O}_2$-column in Table 7.

References

ADM08 F. Antoneli, A.P.S. Dias, and P.C. Matthews. Invariants, equivariants and characters in symmetric bifurcation theory. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, 138(3):477–512, 2008.

Bur10 R. Bury. *Automorphic Lie Algebras, Corresponding Integrable Systems and their Soliton Solutions*. PhD in Applied Mathematics, The University of Leeds, School of Mathematics, Department of Applied Mathematics, 2010.

Dol09 I. Dolgachev. McKay correspondence. Winter 2006/07. *Lecture notes*, 2009.

DR09 A.P.S. Dias and A. Rodrigues. Hopf bifurcation with $\mathbf{S}_N$-symmetry. *Nonlinearity*, 22(3):627–666, 2009.

Eis95 D. Eisenbud. *Commutative Algebra with a View Toward Algebraic Geometry*, volume 27. Springer New York, 1995.

Fos81 R.M. Fossum. Invariant theory, representation theory, commutative algebra - ménage à trois. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics 867, Springer-Verlag*, pages 1–37, 1981.

Gat00 K. Gatermann. *Computer algebra methods for equivariant dynamical systems*, volume 1728 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.

GS85 M. Golubitsky and D.G. Schaeffer. *Singularities and groups in bifurcation theory. Vol. I*, volume 51 of *Applied Mathematical Sciences*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985.

GS02 M. Golubitsky and I. Stewart. *The symmetry perspective*, volume 200 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2002. From equilibrium to chaos in phase space and physical space.

GSS88 M. Golubitsky, I. Stewart, and D.G. Schaeffer. *Singularities and groups in bifurcation theory. Vol. II*, volume 69 of *Applied Mathematical Sciences*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988.

HMS98 C.J. Houghton, N.S. Manton, and P.M. Sutcliffe. Rational maps, monopoles and skyrmions. *Nuclear Physics B*, 510(3):507–537, 1998.

Kle56 F. Klein. *Lectures on the Icosahedron and the Solution of Equations of the Fifth Degree*. Dover Publications Inc., New York, revised edition, 1956. Translated into English by George Gavin Morrice.

Kle93 F. Klein. *Vorlesungen über das Ikosaeder und die Auflösung der Gleichungen vom Fünften Grade*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1993. Reprint of the 1884 original, Edited, with an introduction and commentary by Peter Slodowy.

KLS14 V. Knibbeler, S. Lombardo, and J.A. Sanders. Automorphic Lie algebras with dihedral symmetry. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.*, 47(36):365201, 2014.

KLS15a V. Knibbeler, S. Lombardo, and J.A. Sanders. Automorphic Lie Algebras and the Cohomology of their Root Systems. *In preparation*, 2015.

KLS15b V. Knibbeler, S. Lombardo, and J.A. Sanders. Higher dimensional Automorphic Lie Algebras. *Submitted*, 2015. arXiv:1504.06777 [math-ph].

Kni14 V. Knibbeler. *Invariants of Automorphic Lie Algebras*. PhD in Mathematics, Northumbria University, Department of Mathematics and Information Sciences, 2014. arXiv:1504.03616 [math-ph].

LM04 S. Lombardo and A.V. Mikhailov. Reductions of integrable equations: dihedral group. *J. Phys. A*, 37(31):7727–7742, 2004.

LM05 S. Lombardo and A.V. Mikhailov. Reduction Groups and Automorphic Lie Algebras. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 258(1):179–202, 2005.

Lom04 S. Lombardo. *Reductions of Integrable Equations and Automorphic Lie Algebras*. PhD in Applied Mathematics, The University of Leeds, School of Mathematics, Department of Applied Mathematics, 2004.

LS10 S. Lombardo and J.A. Sanders. On the Classification of Automorphic Lie Algebras. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 29(3):793, 2010.

Lus03 G. Lusztig. Homomorphisms of the alternating group $\mathcal{A}_5$ into reductive groups. *Journal of Algebra*, 260(1):298–322, 2003.

Mir95 R. Miranda. *Algebraic Curves and Riemann Surfaces*, volume 5. American Mathematical Soc., 1995.

MS04 N. Manton and P. Sutcliffe. *Topological solitons*. Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.

Neu07 M.D. Neusel. *Invariant Theory*, volume 36 of *Student Mathematical Library*. AMS, 2007.

PS08 P. Paule and B. Sturmfels. *Algorithms in Invariant Theory*. Springer, 2008.

Spr87 T.A. Springer. Poincaré Series of Binary Polyhedral Groups and McKay's Correspondence. *Mathematische Annalen*, 278(1):99–116, 1987.

SS77 J.P. Serre and L.L. Scott. *Linear Representations of Finite Groups*, volume 42. Springer, 1977.

Sta79 R.P. Stanley. Invariants of finite groups and their applications to combinatorics. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 1(3):475–511, 1979.

Tot02 G. Toth. *Finite Möbius Groups, Minimal Immersions of Spheres, and Moduli*. Springer, 2002.

Vincent Knibbeler v.j.a.knibbeler@vu.nl
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Vrije Universiteit, De Boelelaan 1081a, 1081 HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Sara Lombardo
Department of Mathematics and Information Sciences, Northumbria University, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE2 1XE, United Kingdom

Jan A. Sanders
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Vrije Universiteit, De Boelelaan 1081a, 1081 HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands